

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,347	01/29/2001	Jennifer Pearson	2043.038US1	2384	
21186	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGM	IAN, LUNDBERG, WO	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S			
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	38 LIS, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,		2136		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/772	2,347	PEARSON ET AL	- .			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
	•		on S. Hoffman	2136	_			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N If time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply is specified above, the maximum is only within the set or extended period for reply the ived by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNION of event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the standoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	•				·			
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>22 February</i>	2006					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 February 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
, 	· / _							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4)⊠ Clain	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Clain	n(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		P					
7)∐ Clain	n(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8)∐ Clain	n(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.	•				
Application Pa	apers			- · ·	-			
9) <u></u> The s	pecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			•			
10) ☐ The d	lrawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or	b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applio	cant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Repla	cement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is req	juired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The o	eath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.Ç. § 119							
12) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)∏ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority		and the second s					
	Certified copies of the priority							
3.	Copies of the certified copies	• •		n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	·						
* See th	e attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the co	entitied copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)					•			
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Dr	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O 152)			
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or /Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:		U-132)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-25 are pending in this office action.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed February 22, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. <u>Claims 1-10 and 12-25</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Nazem et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,983,227) in view of <u>My Yahoo</u> (Wayback Machine article, dated 1998-12-12).

Regarding claims 1, 20, 22, and 24, Nazem et al. teaches a method/system/computer readable medium for maintaining login preference information of users of a network-based transaction facility, the method comprising:

Communicating user interface information to a client via a communications
network, the user interface information including information concerning a
plurality of features within the network-based transaction facility and specifying a

login interface facilitating user input of login preference information pertaining to each of the plurality of features, and wherein the login preference information is specific to a user to which it relates and is customized by that user (col. 5, lines 43-49);

- Receiving the login preference information from the client via the communications network (col. 3, line 59 through col. 4, line 2); and
- Utilizing the login preference information to selectively activate a number of the plurality of features, which are identified in the login preference information for the user, within the network-based transaction facility via the communications network (fig. 2, ref. num 218);
- After the user provides login information, which is separate from the login
 preference information, in order to initiate the subsequent login sessions (the
 cookie in col. 3, lines 15-21 is the login information, which is stored separately
 from the login preference information shown in fig. 1, ref. num 116).

<u>Nazem et al.</u> does not teach wherein activation for the number of the plurality of features is enforced with subsequent login sessions initiated by the user by utilizing the login preference information.

My Yahoo teaches wherein activation for the number of the plurality of features is enforced with subsequent login sessions initiated by the user by utilizing the login preference information (page 1, left side, login ID and password).

Art Unit: 2136

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine wherein control in enforced with subsequent login sessions initiated by the user by utilizing the login preference information, as taught by My Yahoo, with the method/system/computer readable medium of Nazem et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because logging into the network-based transaction facility prevents other users from accessing and changing your account settings.

Regarding <u>claim 2</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the login preference information indicates whether a user password should be remembered for each of the plurality of features (see page 1, left side, checkbox for 'Remember my ID & Password' of My Yahoo).

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches comprising storing the login preference information in a database (see fig. 1, ref. num 116 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 4</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches further comprising:

 Initiating a user session upon receiving the user login information (page 1, left side, login ID and password);

Art Unit: 2136

 Retrieving the login preference information from the database using the user login information (see fig. 1, ref. num 116 of Nazem et al.);

Page 5

- Storing the login preference information in a session cookie during the user session (see col. 3, lines 15-21 of Nazem et al.);
- Receiving a user request to access a selected feature of the plurality of features
 within the network-based transaction facility (see col. 3, line 59 through col. 4,
 line 2 of Nazem et al.); and
- Utilizing the login preference information in the session cookie when determining
 whether to require the user to enter user access information before providing
 user access to the selected feature (see fig. 2, ref. num 218 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the login preference information is stored in the session cookie in a secured manner (see page 3, 'Secure' of My Yahoo).

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches further comprising:

- Receiving a user request not to use a cookie during user online activity within the network-based transaction facility (this feature can be set in the browser settings as is well known); and
- Retrieving the login preference information from the database when determining whether to require the user to enter the user login information before providing

Art Unit: 2136

user access to a selected feature of the plurality of features within the network-based transaction facility (see fig. 1, ref. num 116 and col. 3, lines 15-21 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches further comprising:

- Presenting a user interface allowing the user to change the login preference information (see fig. 5A, ref. num 508 of Nazem et al.); and
- Updating the login preference information in a database (see col. 3, lines 22-35 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 8</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches further comprising:

- Receiving the user login information (see page 1, left side, login ID and password of My Yahoo);
- Determining that the user has previously provided the login preference information (see page 3 of My Yahoo); and
- Presenting a welcome user interface including user interface information
 indicating that the user has previously provided the login preference information
 (see page 3, WELCOME BACK message of My Yahoo).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 9</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches including always requiring a user password for any feature involving display of user personal information (see page 3 of My Yahoo).

Regarding <u>claim 10</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the user personal information includes any one of a group comprising credit card information and registration information (see col. 6, lines 23-26 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 12, 21, 23, and 25, Nazem et al.</u> teaches a method/system/computer readable medium to control access to a network-based transaction facility, the method including:

- Identifying a user associated with a network-based transaction facility by using
 user login information, which is separate from user access preferences (the
 cookie in col. 3, lines 15-21 is the user login information, which is stored
 separately from the user access preferences shown in fig. 1, ref. num 116);
- preferences indicating selective access requirements to each of a plurality of features provided by the network-based transaction facility, wherein the access preferences identify each of the plurality of features and are customized for the user and the user's interaction with the network-based transaction facility (fig. 1, ref. num 116); and

Art Unit: 2136

 Controlling access to each of the plurality of features in accordance with the stored access preferences (col. 5, lines 50-64).

Nazem et al. does not teach the user logs into the network-based transaction facility.

My Yahoo teaches the user logs into the network-based transaction facility (page 1, left side, login ID and password).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the user logs into the network-based transaction facility, as taught by My Yahoo, with the method/system/computer readable medium of Nazem et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because logging into the network-based transaction facility prevents other users from accessing and changing your account settings.

Regarding <u>claim 13</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the controlling comprises implementing different access restrictions to respective features of the plurality of features according to the access preferences (see col. 5, lines 50-64 of Nazem et al.).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 14, Nazem et al. as modified by My Yahoo teaches wherein the controlling requires selectively requiring user identity verification information as a portion of the user login information to access a first feature of the plurality of features in accordance with the access preferences (see col. 11, top section of code of Nazem et al. requires a login, from thereon, a cookie is used to store the login information).

Regarding <u>claim 15</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the user identity verification information comprises a password (see page 1, left side, PASSWORD field of My Yahoo).

Regarding <u>claim 16</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches including communicating a request to the user to determine a set of access preferences pertaining to the plurality of features, and storing a response to the request as the access preferences (see col. 5, line 66 through col. 6, line 12 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 17</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the request comprises a user interface via which the user composes the response (see fig. 5A, ref. num 508 of Nazem et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 18</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the user interface comprises a markup language document (see col. 2, lines 44-48 of Nazem et al.).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches wherein the plurality of features includes any one of a group comprising sell, bid, chat, feedback, account information, personalization, tracking and financial features (see fig. 5A and 5B of Nazem et al., stock quotes, personalized weather, etc.).

<u>Claim 11</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Nazem</u> et al. (USPN '227) in view of <u>My Yahoo</u> (Wayback Machine article, dated 1998-12-12), and further in view of <u>Yahoo Auctions</u> (Wayback Machine article, dated 1999-02-08).

Regarding <u>claim 11</u>, <u>Nazem et al.</u> as modified by <u>My Yahoo</u> teaches all the limitations of claim 1, above. However, the combination of <u>Nazem et al.</u> and <u>My Yahoo</u> does not teach wherein the network-based transaction facility is a network-based auction facility.

<u>Yahoo Auctions</u> teaches wherein the network-based transaction facility is a network-based auction facility (page 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine a network-based auction facility, as taught by <u>Yahoo</u>

<u>Auctions</u>, with the method of <u>Nazem et al./My Yahoo</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because a network-based auction facility allows users to purchase

items in an exciting way, where there settings (name, address, etc.) are saved with their account.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant amends claims 1, 12, and 20-25.
- 6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2136

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Branda Ah

BH

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100